

# **Update on the NESCAUM Review and Assessment of the PAMS Network**

**(again)**

George Allen



The contributions of many State Agency staff on the APC and MAC to this process are gratefully acknowledged!!

Presented at the NESCAUM APC meeting, September 21, 2010

## The Issue:

Old Questions, new context.

Q: [How] do we use PAMS data; What can we do better?  
Not new Questions... Never get answered well.

Motivation for this effort: A Dramatically New Context

MUCH has changed since the early 1990's

NAAQS levels and forms

125-ppb 1-h to presumably 70-ppb 8-h; 2ndary Std?

longer PAMS season?

NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions trend downward

What we can measure - new technologies

## Background of the current review process

Early this year: Topic came up in informal discussions (Feb. AD Mtg) anticipating large ozone NAAQS changes this fall

March: Charge to Nescaum Committees (APC and MAC) to review/assess PAMS in our domain

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PAMS-charge-2010-March17-draft.pdf>

April: MAC call to discuss PAMS monitoring issues; Discussions with APC; Develop initial ideas

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PAMS-mac-15apr-call-summary.pdf>

May: Update to Air Directors outlining the review process

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PAMS-Update-NESC-Dirs-Mtg-final-2010May.pdf>

July: Joint MAC-APC call to focus questions

[ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/7July-PAMS-call-notes\\_Rev15July.pdf](ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/7July-PAMS-call-notes_Rev15July.pdf)

Aug.: Two sub-group calls:

Target species/measurement issues

Data analysis

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PamsWG-23Aug-TargetSpecies-CallSummary.pdf>

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PamsWG-24Aug-DataAnalysis-CallSummary.pdf>

Sept: Joint APC/MAC call - followup of sub-group calls

[ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/Nescaum-PamsWGcall\\_14sept10.pdf](ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/Nescaum-PamsWGcall_14sept10.pdf)

includes draft species lists

End of October: Summary to Air Directors

Nov. 3? Final “revised” O3 NAAQS rule announced

Proposed O3 implementation rule announced

All related background material for this review process is at:

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/>

Literature, old reports, meeting summaries, etc.

# Summary of results to date

## Network design (siting):

Discussion on hold for now...

-- waiting for implementation rule info (November?)

“New PAMS areas may be created depending on final level and classification approach selected”

[ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PAMS-EPA-Update\\_Weinstock.pdf](ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/PAMS-EPA-Update_Weinstock.pdf) [EPA, April 2010]

-- Program Funding implications?

EPA has been ignoring and underfunding PAMS for a long time

Will that change with a much tighter O<sub>3</sub> NAAQS?

EPA-OAQPS PAMS review process starting this fall (Cavender)

## Measurements and Target Species:

Need to update old equipment/methods (\$\$)

more reliable, more relevant species (biogenics)

very limited EPA hardware \$ (700k/y nationally)

Develop more focused list(s) of species for data analysis

-- Subset of current 56 HAPS (~25?)

shorter list is better if it works as well for models

-- Relevant to O3 (MIR, abundance)

also air toxics (for urban sites)

-- Measured well over a wide range of sites

-- Current status: still under development

Multiple lists going forward

Core Species list is dependant on measurement method

Newer methods can measure more/better (toxics, biogenics)

Carbonyls measurement method[s] still unresolved

O3 Event Carbonyl intensive (3-hour) measurements:

Should we continue? Not required. How used?

R2 does not do; R1 did not do this year

PAMS carbonyls:

Important for both O3 and air toxics (aldehydes)

Currently 3<sup>rd</sup> day, summer only; data quality??

Not from PAMS Auto-GC - separate method

Year-round Urban PAMS sites?

Longer PAMS season?

Add air toxics program relevant species

Leverage air toxics pgm funding?

## Data Analysis:

Spatial Scale -- OTC domain, NE urban corridor

Limited routine use - mostly VOC trends analysis

Occasionally used in models to check concepts and consistency

Emission inventories are a weak spot for models

Models can not do trends - too many changes over the years  
CB-4, CB-5, Moves

More biogenic species/data needed for models  
Anthropogenic VOCs trending down

Gopal's summary highlights many needs:

<ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/Gopal-PAMS-measurements-OTCdomain-draft-8sept10.pdf>

## Exploratory Analysis by Tom Downs (ME-DEP):

1997-2009 data, all NESCAUM PAMS sites

3-month PAMS season only

Focus on 6-9 am (source) and 3-6 pm (receptor) EDT periods

Ratios, trends, % missing PAMS HC

Completed for all 19 sites; data and analysis results at:

[http://www.maine.gov/dep/ftp/DEP\\_PAMS/NESCAUM\\_PAMS\\_DATA/](http://www.maine.gov/dep/ftp/DEP_PAMS/NESCAUM_PAMS_DATA/)

and

[http://www.maine.gov/dep/ftp/DEP\\_PAMS/NESCAUM\\_PAMS\\_ANALYSES/](http://www.maine.gov/dep/ftp/DEP_PAMS/NESCAUM_PAMS_ANALYSES/)

Some caveats for data screening / missingness

Encourage State staff to review data and analysis for their sites

Tom welcomes feedback on any aspect of this effort

This “internal” analysis / data review could be an ongoing process

Extend south to DC/VA? OTC coordination?

## Other Regional Analysis Topics

Outsourced (if funds available)?

Handed off to EPA internally or externally?

(\$140k/year nationally off the top):

Event and/or Trend analysis for O<sub>3</sub> and VOCs

include control for seasonal met and transport wind patterns

Review core science in NAS 1991 “Rethinking the O<sub>3</sub> problem”  
document: did we get any answers yet?

[ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/Rethinking the Ozone Problem in Urban and Regional Air Pollution NAS1992.pdf](ftp://airbeat.org/PAMS/Rethinking_the_Ozone_Problem_in_Urban_and_Regional_Air_Pollution_NAS1992.pdf)

Are VOCs more of a transport or local issue now - or both?

VOCs mixing with urban NO<sub>x</sub>, reacting and transporting?

NO<sub>x</sub> dis-benefits as mobile and stationary source controls kick in?

## Upper Air Met: do we still need it?

Probably. WRF/MM5 good model input, but need some validation.

Limited sites in NE (MA and NJ); 2 in MD, nothing upwind:

<http://madis-data.noaa.gov/cap/profiler.jsp?view=news>

Existing systems in NE are very very old

-- funding for (expensive) maintenance is tenuous

Consider new approach - new methods (ceilometer lidar?)

Cheaper, more reliable, “good enough” data

Funding not in the pipeline

## Example of rural total NMOC 1995-2008 (Source: EPA-R1)

**Average 1-hour measurements of TNMOC (ppbC) recorded at four New England Type 3 and 4 PAMS sites during the summer months (June, July, and August) for the period 1995 through 2008.**

